



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,292	01/14/2004	Yutaka Tohgi	0307683 H8058US	4511

7590 07/29/2009  
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP  
Intellectual Property Group  
Suite 2800  
725 South Figueroa Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406

EXAMINER
----------

WANG, HARRIS C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2439

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/29/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/757,292	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TOHGI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HARRIS C. WANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2439	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HARRIS C. WANG. (3) Mark Kendrick.

(2) Cornell Crosby. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Russell, Erickson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed his view of differences between prior art and the application, in particular that the instant application restricts use of certain plug-ins and a double protection. Applicant agreed to possibly amend to further clarify invention..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439
--	--